RESEARCH ARTICLE

Patient Safety Innovations

Rana Allam

Pediatric & Neonatology Consultant, Head of Standards Research and Development Dept.-GAHAR, AAA assessor, International Accreditation Expert
Email: dr_rana.allam@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: avoidance, mitigation, & amelioration of unfavorable results or injuries resulting from procedures of providing healthcare constitutes a subset of patient safety. Useof health information technology maydecrease human error, enhance clinical results, facilitate care coordination, increase practice efficiency, & track data across time, among other options for improving & altering healthcare.

Aim: in this study, we aimed to review patient safety innovations.

Summary: For healthcare organizations to confirm that physicians have been certified to offer safe & correct care, proper physician credentialing is a critical step. Nevertheless, this procedure necessitates extensive data gathering & protracted approval process, which may impede doctors' ability to practice. Various technologies, ranging from basic charting to more complex decision assistance & interaction with medical equipment, have beeninvolved in health information technology.

Introduction

formal approval procedure & prospective market entry, which demand that interventions be demonstrated to be beneficial in addition to safe in studied cases, may be made more consistent by regulatory agencies starting to take steps to direct preclinical development in unique cases of AI. Translational AI & machine learning studies have been advised to abide by US Food & Drug Administration guiding principles on good machine learning practice for medical device development to demonstrate the potential usefulness & safety of AI in the real world **(1)**.

These emphasize the requirement for independent training & test datasets as well as the necessity of developing & testing models in populations that are age-, sex-, race-, & ethnicity-representative of the intended patient group. Additionally, the FDA refers to models based on AI or machine learning as "software as a medical device" & acknowledges that these interventions are complicated & may expose studied cases to unintended risk. In certain circumstances, safety must be examined from the standpoint of introducing inadvertent bias in the provision of care rather than being restricted to algorithmic errors related to diagnosis or prognosis alone**(2)**.

In the context of 'varying' iterative therapies, how adverse events manifest & are evaluated in studied cases needs to be reconsidered. model's application that continuously learns & develops after being exposed to real-world populations should be governed differently than 'static' interventions, even though FDA-approved AI algorithms are locked before being released into the market. FDA's SaMD action plan explains how manufacturers should describe anticipated modifications for pre-market reviews, like those that relate to performance or inputs & that would require re-training SaMDs with new datasets & adjustments to algorithm architecture. This would facilitate & control the "iterative improvement power" of AI.Additionally, it is necessary to specify how they will be put into practice to minimize patient risk. types of modifications & mechanisms for execution that must be contained in this "predetermined change control plan" are still being discussed by "interested stakeholders" & FDA. Manufacturers would probably need to keep track of & inform regulatory agencies about SaMD safety after rollout**(3)**.

repercussions. According to the Joint Commission, throughout patient transfers, around eighty percent of significant medical errors are the result of provider misunderstanding. With real-time updates to patient records that notify the entire care team when a patient's condition or treatment plan modifications, health IT businesses are now attempting to address these issues. Platforms like Spok Care Connect, which provide streamlined communication solution that works with HER's existing workflows, may be used to remove clinical boundaries across organizations(8).

Proactive pathogen detection

Earlierclinicians detect infections, the easier they have been to treat. Healthcare-CDC estimates that related illnesses cause 99,000 fatalities & 1.7million infections annually. Numerous businesses have developed cutting-edge techniques for identifying dangerous microorganisms in healthcare environments before studied cases become ill. For instance, technologies that continuously sample & monitor air, like Pathogen ALERT's RAPID Reader System, study & identify pathogens in real-time & text or email outcomes. But not all infections can be avoided. If the patient does catch the infection, technology like BD's Med-Mined Surveillance Advisor mines data to identify clinically significant pathogen variations so that healthcare professionals may identify new infection patterns & stop outbreaks.The clinical intelligence platform POC Advisor from Wolters Kluwer Health compiles data for early problem detection & prevention(9).

Influences on the adoption of patient safety innovation in primary care

With governmental initiatives leading to an increase in treatments created to meet the growing demands of the ageing & chronically ill population, primary care in the UK is still undergoing a fast transformation. Change is rarely affected in a linear & prescribed fashion, though, because interventions are usually complex & multidimensional in adaptive systems like primary care. In addition to variables inherent to innovation, a variety of organizational & environmental factors unique to each place, such as current systems, patient demographics, & resource availability, must be considered for successful implementation. More research is needed to clarify the dynamics underlying the implementation & uptake of successful innovations, & deeper knowledge of the impact of the local environment, particularly in primary care, has been called for as a result of this complexity(10).

According to research, innovative patient safety initiatives must consider local conditions of healthcare settings to recognize the entire spectrum of influences on implementation and promote acceptability. Patient Safety Toolkit, which had been supported by the NIHR School of Primary Care Research & had been created to address differences in safety awareness & behavior in contemporary primary care settings, had been 1 such patient safety project. multi-element toolkit had been presented on a single platform to address patient safety issues in a variety of areas, like communication,

Leveraging EHR to provide better patient care.

Doctors & nurses may now use patient records to enhance care delivery & safety thanks to advancements in EHR usability. When all studied case data is accurately recorded, physicians can use that information to make decisions about patient care. Compared to handwritten notes, EHR notes are easier to read, & alarms may stop clinicians from prescribing dangerous drug combinations or drugs that would cause allergic responses. For instance, based on EHR data, the VigiLanz Platform is intended to serve as a real-time automated monitoring system that may notify clinicians of drug mismatches, preventions, & reportable illnesses(4).

addition of genomic data to patient records will enable the delivery of more expedient, customized care in the future. Oncologists may now tailor chemotherapy & other cancer treatments depending on the patient's genes & particular tumour, which has already proven helpful for cancer-studied cases. Similar information may have an impact on various medication regimens used in the management of chronic diseases & specialty care(5).

Blockchain for physician credentialing

For healthcare organizations to confirm that physicians have been certified to offer safe & correct care, proper physician credentialing is a critical step. Yet, this procedure necessitates extensive data gathering & protracted approval process, which may impede doctors' ability to practice. Before clinicians may perform cases, healthcare organizations such as hospitals & physician networks must gather information about a doctor's education, license, & regulatory background, among other references. According to PwC, 1 way to expedite the process & guarantee correctness is through the use of blockchain. When data is added to the distributed ledger of blockchain, proper certification parties may update it in real-time & share it with proper organizations, including payers, within days(6).

For doctors, who submit about eighteen applications for credentialing every year, blockchain might eliminate weeks or months of waiting. Physician credentialing, according to the National Association of Medical Staff Services, typically takes 120days. According to CMS, fifty-two% of providers in their online Medicare Advantage list were inaccurate. The blockchain would centralize all verified provider data. 5 organizations have already committed to using blockchain for physician credentialing in cooperation with Hashed Health, comprising Spectrum Health & WellCare(7).

Improved internal communications

Patient damage or death can result from healthcare organizations' fractured communication networks. Researchers looked at malpractice claims in 2009 & 2013, & they discovered that misunderstanding was indicated as a contributing factor in cases with severe injuries in thirty-seven percent of those cases.[10] More than half of cases (7,149) included miscommunication among 2 or more providers & comprised instances of facts, statistics, or results that had been poorly communicated among providers with serious

& report "crossing quality chiasm" from 2001 urged use of information technology as crucial 1st step in transforming & changing healthcare environment to achieve better & safer care. Healthcare information technology isdescribed as "the application of information processing involving both computer hardware and software that deals with the storage, retrieval, sharing, and use of healthcare information, data, and knowledge for communication and decision-making"**(15).**

Many varying from basic charting to more complex decision assistance & interaction with medical equipment, have beeninvolved in health information technology. The use of health information technology can reduce human error, enhance clinical results, facilitate care coordination, increase practice efficiency, & track data across time, among other options for improving & altering healthcare. Since the initial IOM study had been released, health information technology was developed & used more quickly, with differing degrees of evidence on its effects on patient safety**(16).**

goal of this review is to provide a concise summary of the most recent scientific data on how various health information technologies affect patient safety results. When deciding on the purchase & usage of such technology to increase patient safety, clinicians & healthcare policymakers may find this review to be helpful. This review considered studies that involved any of the following interventions: electronic physician's orders (CPOE), clinical decision support, electronic prescribing, electronic sign-out & hand-off tools, bar code medication administration, smart pumps, automated medication dispensing cabinets, electronic medication administration record & patient data management systems**(17).**

Computerized physician order entry is the process of entering medical orders, including prescriptions for medication, utilizing a computer, or mobile platform. Modern systems now enable computerized ordering of tests, operations, & consultations. Computerized physician order entry systems had been initially created to increase the safety of prescription orders. clinical decision support system, which serves as an instrument for preventing errors by advising prescribers on preferable drug doses, routes, & frequencies of administration, is typically integrated with computerized physician order input systems. Additionally, some CPOE systems can have the ability to alert prescribers to any patient allergies, drug-drug, or drug-lab interactions, or with more advanced systems, it can alert prescribers to interventions that must be prescribed based on clinical guideline recommendations (for instance, venous thromboembolism prophylaxis)**(18).**

implementation of COPE with clinical decision support led to a significant decrease in medication errors (RR: 0.46; 95% CI 0.31 to 0.71) & adverse drug reactions (RR: 0.47; 95% CI 0.35 to 0.60), according to a study on the efficiency of CPOE in decreasing medication errors & adverse drug events in hospitals. Similar outcomes in minimizing medication errors were seen in trials done in community-based outpatient clinics. Hard-stops have been investigated & have been effective in reducing prescribing errors in CPOE

medication, & administration, & to meet challenges presented by the diversity of scale, resource, & sophistication of primary care. Toolkit had been created specifically to address patient safety issues in 4 critical areas: recognizing studied cases at particular risk of harm, detecting gaps in safety systems, figuring out the practice's safety culture, & understanding patient perspectives on safety**(11).**

software-based intervention to prevent medication-related injuries (Prescribing Indicators Tool), a tool to evaluate medicines reconciliation for recently discharged studied cases (Medicines Rec), a questionnaire to gauge patients' experiences of safety in primary care (PREOS-PC), & tool for rapid retrospective note review for identifying patient safety incidents (Trigger Tool) are included in the set of 6 tools. Toolkit & associated instructions on how to utilize & get the most out of each are now accessible on the website of the Royal College of General Practitioners**(12).**

Any innovation should be applicable, relevant, & beneficial to be successfully implemented. 1 tool used in this situation had been PC Safe Quest Survey, which offered practice staff members an anonymous forum to discuss their opinions & experiences with patient safety. Open, learning culture & recognition of managerial "blind spots" have been important components of numerous prior initiatives to increase quality & safety. These strategies have supported the democratization of knowledge, skills, & authority to successfully transform systems & processes. the survey proved to be a useful & effective way to involve all employees & raise awareness of those problems that top staff might have overlooked otherwise. PREOS-PC patient questionnaire is another resource that has been well accepted for its fresh viewpoint**(13).**

Technology as a Tool for Improving Patient Safety

Technology advancements over the past few decades have created new opportunities for enhancing patient safety. Utilizing technology to digitize healthcare procedures has the potential to improve clinical workflow efficiency & standardization while lowering costs & errors in all healthcare settings.[1] Yet, if technological approaches are inadequately developed or put into practice, the workload for clinicians may rise. For instance, overworked medical professionals may get alert fatigued, & ignore signals. More medical blunders could result from this. the fact that many government organizations, including the Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality & Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, have created tools to assist healthcare organizations in integrating technology is evidence of the topic's importance in recent years. Examples include Safety Assurance Factors for EHR Resilience guides created by the Office of National Coordinator for Health Information Technology**(14).**

Impact of health information technology on patient safety

avoidance, mitigation, & amelioration of unfavorable results or injuries resulting from procedures of providing healthcare constitutes a subset of patient safety. The Institute of Medicine report "To err is Human" from 1999called for the development & testing of new technologies to decrease medical error,

According to the standard hospital design process, program objectives, room specifications, & restrictions (Functional Space Programme), like the requirement to place specific departments next to one another, must be provided to architects. As a result, a block diagram (Adjacencies), an overall schematic for each room, room layout, & construction papers are produced. Usually, no concerns are voiced regarding how the facility's technology & equipment affect patient safety, which presents a chance to replicate circumstances that cause errors**(12).**

References
1. **Rossi, S., Antal, A., Bestmann, S., Bikson, M., Brewer, C., Brockmöller, J., et al. (2021).** Safety and recommendations for TMS use in healthy subjects and patient populations, with updates on training, ethical and regulatory issues: Expert Guidelines. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 132*(1), 269–306.
2. **McCuistion, L. E., DiMaggio, K. V., Winton, M. B., Yeager, J. J. (2021).** *Pharmacology E-Book: A Patient-Centered Nursing Process Approach.* Elsevier Health Sciences.
3. **Yari, S., Naseri, M. H., Akbari, H., Shahsavari, S., Akbari, H. (2019).** Interaction of safety climate and safety culture: A model for cancer treatment centers. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention: APJCP, 20*(3), 961.
4. **Panagioti, M., Khan, K., Keers, R. N., Abuzour, A., Phipps, D., Kontopantelis, E., et al. (2019).** Prevalence, severity, and nature of preventable patient harm across medical care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj, 366.*
5. **Boutet, A., Chow, C. T., Narang, K., Elias, G. J. B., Neudorfer, C., Germann, J., et al. (2020).** Improving safety of MRI in patients with deep brain stimulation devices. *Radiology, 296*(2), 250–262.
6. **Kisacik, O. G., Cigerci, Y. (2019).** Use of the surgical safety checklist in the operating room: operating room nurses' perspectives. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences, 35*(3), 614.
7. **Gurková, E., Zeleníková, R., Friganovic, A., Uchmanowicz, I., Jarošová, D., Papastavrou, E., et al. (2020).** Hospital safety climate from nurses' perspective in four European countries. *International Nursing Review, 67*(2), 208–217.
8. **Patel, H., Gurumurthy, P. (2019).** Improving medication safety in oncology care: impact of clinical pharmacy interventions on optimizing patient safety. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, 41*(4), 981–992.
9. **Royce, C. S., Hayes, M. M., Schwartzstein, R. M. (2019).** Teaching critical thinking: a case for instruction in cognitive biases to reduce diagnostic errors and improve patient safety. *Academic Medicine, 94*(2), 187-194.
10. **Carayon, P., Wooldridge, A., Hoonakker, P., Hundt, A. S., Kelly, M. M. (2020).** SEIPS 3.0: Human-centered design of the patient journey for patient safety. *Applied Ergonomics, 84,* 103033.
11. **Billings, D. M. (2019).** Teaching nurses to make clinical judgments that ensure patient safety.

systems as a measure of forcing function & error prevention. However, using hard-stops led to clinically significant therapy delays**(19).**

Information & patient-specific information are made available to healthcare professionals through clinical decision support. This information is sensibly filtered & delivered to healthcare professionals at proper times to enhance their decisions. several tools are included in clinical decision support to improve clinical workflow & decision-making. These tools include, among others, clinical guidelines, condition-specific order sets, patient-specific clinical summaries, documentation templates, investigative & diagnostic support, as well as notifications, alerts, & reminders to healthcare professionals & studied cases. use of on-screen reminders for doctors improved process adherence, medicine ordering, vaccination, laboratory ordering, & clinical results just slightly to somewhat**(20).**

Clinical decision support system signals are routinely disregarded by doctors. Boston-area research that looked at18,115drug alerts discovered that thirty-three percent of them had been disregarded by an ordering doctor. In many clinical trials, the impact of various CDS system adjustments on physician adherence to alerts was investigated. It was discovered that "tiering" & "automation of alerts" enhanced physician adherence to CDS alerts. meta-analysis of causes of why some CDS systems succeed & improve patient outcomes & why other systems fail found that CDS systems that offered straightforward advice had been less likely to succeed while CDS systems that required healthcare providers to justify when deviating from CDS advice had higher success rates. Additionally, CDS systems that provide counsel to practitioners & studied cases simultaneously had a higher chance of success. Additionally, CDS systems that had been reviewed by their developer as opposed to independent developers had a higher success rate. Published studies provide consistent, high-quality evidence that CDS systems enhance patient safety & care quality, but outcomes can vary depending on system designs & implementation strategies used**(21).**

Creating a Culture of Patient Safety through Innovative Hospital Design

1991Harvard Medical Practice research on the effect of human error on patient safety, for instance, found that sixty-nine % of injuries sustained by hospitalized studied cases in New York State in1984 had been caused by mistakes, & that roughly fourteen% of these injuries had been fatal. According to different research, 2.4 percent (2,539out of 105,603) of pharmaceutical errors that occurred in hospitals were harmful. intensive patient care provided to studied cases included in these hazardous mistakes led to extended hospital stays, more testing & monitoring, & more pharmacological therapy, which ultimately increased usage of hospital resources & expenses. According to IOM, the likelihood that hospital-avoidable medical death would occur is between three & six per 1,000admissions, & likelihood that adverse events will happen is between three & four per1,000 hospitalizations**(22).**

The Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing, *50*(7), 300–302.

12. **Kuosmanen, A., Tiihonen, J., Repo-Tiihonen, E., Eronen, M., Turunen, H. (2019).** Changes in patient safety culture: a patient safety intervention for Finnish forensic psychiatric hospital staff. *Journal of Nursing Management*, *27*(4), 848–857.

13. **Ritchie, B. M., Barreto, J. N., Barreto, E. F., Crow, S. A., Dierkhising, R. A., Jannetto, P. J., et al. (2019).** Relationship of ganciclovir therapeutic drug monitoring with clinical efficacy and patient safety. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 63*(3), 10-1128.

14. **Bressan, V., Mio, M., Palese, A. (2020).** Nursing handovers and patient safety: Findings from an umbrella review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *76*(4), 927–938.

15. **Goode, J.-V., Owen, J., Page, A., Gatewood, S. (2019).** Community-based pharmacy practice innovation and the role of the community-based pharmacist practitioner in the United States. *Pharmacy, 7*(3), 106.

16. **Slack, V., Bharti, O. K. (2023).** The 'Pooling Strategy'in Himachal Pradesh, India: An Innovation for Rabies Post-exposure Prophylaxis During Crisis of Shortage of Life-saving Biologicals. In *One Health for Dog-mediated Rabies Elimination in Asia: A Collection of Local Experiences* (pp. 74–84). CABI GB.

17. **Greenhalgh, T., Papoutsi, C. (2019).** Spreading and scaling up innovation and improvement. *Bmj, 365.*

18. **Spahic, F., Pock, E., Schoberer, D., Strobl, W., Eglseer, D., Hoedl, M. (2023).** Developing an Open Innovation Nursing Home Living Lab in Austria. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association.*

19. **Amalberti, R. (2019).** Resilience and safety in health care: marriage or divorce? In *Resilient health care* (pp. 27–38). CRC Press.

20. **Murniasih, E., Wardhani, U. C., Maria, M. (2023).** Hubungan Komunikasi SBAR saat Handover dengan Penerapan Patient Safety di Rumah Sakit Bakti Timah Karimun. *Journal of Educational Innovation and Public Health, 1*(3), 203-209.

21. **Organization, W. H. (2021).***Global patient safety action plan 2021-2030: towards eliminating avoidable harm in health care*. World Health Organization.

22. **Sittig, D. F., Wright, A., Coiera, E., Magrabi, F., Ratwani, R., Bates, D. W., et al. (2020).** Current challenges in health information technology-related patient safety. *Health Informatics Journal, 26*(1), 181–189.