## RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Open Access

# Assessment of Influence of Polishing on The Quality of Class 1 Amalgam Restoration - A Retrospective Analysis

### Jerry Jose<sup>1</sup>, Raghu Sandhya<sup>2\*</sup>, Surendar Sugumaran<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics,Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences,Saveetha University, Chennai 600077, India

<sup>2</sup>Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 600077, India.

Email: <a href="mailto:sandhya.sdc@saveetha.com">sandhya.sdc@saveetha.com</a>

<sup>3</sup>Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 600077, India

#### ABSTRACT

The advent of various restorative materials in dental practice has given the operator dilemma on which material to be used for various case scenarios. Out of the different restorations materials, amalgam and composites have shown increased usage with amalgam being used almost 150 years. Polishibility after restorations play a crucial role in its long-term success and shows better adaptation of the prepared tooth structure. The aim of this study was to assess the influence of polishing on the quality of Class 1 amalgam restoration by a retrospective analysis in an institutional setup. The assessment was done by a retrospective evaluation using electronic dental records from the time period June 2019 to April 2020. Grading of these restorations were carried out by using FDI criteria for direct restorations in which class 1 pre-polish amalgam restoration and post-polish amalgam restoration was assessed pictorially by 2 reviewers. The assessment showed statistically significant differences between preoperative/postoperative surface luster quality (p=0.000), preoperative/postoperative surface marginal staining (p=0.000), preoperative/postoperative anatomical form quality (p=0.002), preoperative/postoperative material retention and fracture assistance (p=0.006). The marginal adaptation quality was not altered by polishing(p=0.617). From the overall parameters assessed, quality of the restoration post polishing was seen considerably better when compared to pre polished amalgam restoration. Polishing of amalgam restoration is deemed necessity, providing better strength and prognosis for the restoration

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received February 14 2020, Accepted March 01,2020 Published August 19, 2020

#### **KEYWORDS**

dental amalgam; dental polishing; FDI criteria; retrospective study

Contact: Raghu Sandhya, 🖾 Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 600077, India , Ph.no: +91 9884610410 Asandhya.sdc@saveetha.com

<sup>2020</sup> The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial Share Alike 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

## **INTRODUCTION**

Dental amalgam is one of the oldest used dental restorative materials in dental practice being around 150 year old history, which has brought many controversies during this time frame[1]. The use of dental amalgam accounts for 75% of all restorative materials used in the practice, with the combination of reliable long-term performance in load bearing areas and its economic factor is unmatched by any other dental restorative materials[2].

The usage of dental amalgam was seen to be initiated as far as 659AD by the Chinese Su Kong[3]. Following this revelation various modifications were made, later Li Shihchen in 1578 devised a dental mixture consisting of 100 parts of mercury with 45 parts of silver and 900 parts of tin[4]. The introduction of dental amalgam to the United States was in 1833 by the Crawcour brothers by the introduction of French silver coins and mercury. These amalgams also led to various disputes which led to "Amalgam wars" and finally the formation of American Society of Dental Surgeons in 1845[5] during which they considered Amalgam usage to be a malpractice. The findings of J foster flagg in 1877, led to dissolution of this belief who showed the long-standing effects and laboratory results proving no adverse effects seen on usage. It was GV blacks findings in 1895, 1896, 1908 which paved the way for the principles of cavity design and the development of alloy composition consisting of 68.5% silver, 25.5% tin, 5% gold, 1% zinc which paved the way for modern dentistry[6]. S. S white manufactured the first commercial dental amalgam consisting of alloy in which gold was replaced by copper[7].

Though dental amalgam is a suitable direct filling material for various cavities, it is in its demise and composite restorations is expected to replace it gradually due to its various advancements [8]. It is seen by various studies and clinical trials that amalgam has shown to be superior to composite resin restorations [9,10] and one of the basic training provided in many institutions other than the various other dental procedures done in an institutional setup [11–13]. As much as performing the amalgam restoration it is equally important to polish these surfaces which many operators fail to do this, the major reason being it is time consuming and excessive heat generation is seen. [14]

One of the major advantage seen post amalgam polishing is the fact that it removes all surface irregularities of the restoration inturn preventing any tarnish to take place in the long run as well as removal of excessive removal of restorative material from all the cavosurface margins. This provides the restoration a self cleansable area preventing plaque accumulation to occur [15]. This should be emphasized very clearly in educational institutions. The aim of the current study was to assess the influence of polishing on the quality of class 1 amalgam restorations by a retrospective analysis in an institutional setup.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A retrospective observational study was carried out from the time frame June 2019 to April 2020 using electronic dental records of an Institution at Chennai, India. Ethical clearance was obtained from "Saveetha Institute Human Ethical Committee" with an ethical clearance number of SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320. The preoperative/postoperative amalgam polishing quality was assessed using pictorial data which was manually evaluated. The study samples were selected based on the following criteria:-

# Inclusion criteria

- Patient aged 17 to 80 years
- Permanent posterior teeth having completed Class 1 Amalgam restoration only

### **Exclusion criteria**

- Data which was missing in either variable (pre-polishing/post polishing picture of the same tooth)
- Anterior class 1 Amalgam restoration

Initially, 98 teeth samples were taken into consideration based on the Inclusion/Exclusion criteria assessment the sample size was finalised to 55.

The criteria used for assessment of amalgam restoration was using Fédération Dentaire Internationale (FDI) Criteria for Dental Restorations [16]. The criteria was used based on the preoperative and postoperative polishing quality. The parameters used for assessment were as follows :-

- A. Surface lustre quality
- B. Surface marginal staining quality
- C. Anatomical form quality
- D. Fracture of material and retention
- E. Marginal adaptation quality

Each parameter was assessed by the 2 calibrated examiners and scoring was done based on the criteria. The scoring consists of (1-5). In case of disagreement by the examiners the third reviewers was asked to grade the criteria.

The statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS Version 21.0 (IBM Corp, USA). Demographic data such as patient age, gender, tooth number was tabulated based on descriptive analysis. Mean, median and standard deviation was assessed with 25,50, 75 percentiles. Normality test was done using Skewness and Kurtosis test; (z value-2.15, z > 1.96). Correlation analysis was done for the nonparametric data using Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test between pre polishing amalgam and post

polishing assessment. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant

## RESULTS

The study population consisted of 55% of female (n=30) and 45% of male (n=25). The teeth assessed were maxillary premolars (15%), maxillary molars (24%), mandibular premolars (3%), mandibular molars (58%) (Figure 1, Figure 2). Considering the surface luster and marginal staining, in the present study it was seen that the quality of restoration overall increased significantly based on the parameters assessed. It was observed that there was a significant difference in the quality of the restoration post polishing (p=0.000) (Figure 3, Figure 4).

The anatomical form was seen to be significantly improved by assessing pre polishing/post polishing amalgam (p=0.002) (Figure 5). Fracture of material and restorations as well was significantly improved (p=0.006) (Figure 6) but the marginal adaptation quality was not improved which showed (p=0.617) statistical insignificance (Figure 7).

## DISCUSSION

Retrospective studies have the ability to show intervention data and baseline state which is paramount for providing crucial information for identifying outcomes[17]. From the studies done in the institutional practice, it was observed that most studies emphasis on endodontic treatment procedures Previously we have focused our research on various invitro and invivo studies. [18–37] We have currently shifted our focus to this retrospective analysis. Assessment of the quality of restorations was aimed in this study.

Surface luster of dental restorations plays a significant role in increasing the long term success. Inadequate burnishing as well as use of improper alloy mixture have shown a negative effect on the restoration in the long run. Elderton et al[38] in their study showed the dental amalgam lasted significantly longer if polishing was carried out judiciously. In the present study the quality of restoration improved post polishing.

Surface marginal staining and quality is an important parameter which can assess the involvement of secondary caries. Rudolphy et al [39] had described discolouration around amalgam restoration could be an important indication for secondary caries formation. These staining also can be an important indicator for insufficient caries removal. Another study by Magalheaus et al [40] in their study stated that staining and ditching could be indicators for caries formation. Our analysis revealed that the surface marginal stains were lesser in amalgam restorations post polishing.

Anatomical form of dental restorations plays a crucial role in dissipating occlusal forces as well prevention of fracture of restorative material[41]. They have improved significantly by burnishing these areas. Martin et al [42] in their prospective showed that which study teeth were overcontoured were not prone to fracture of material but also suggested repair of these amalgam restorations is a better treatment choice than complete replacement. The adjuvant use of polishing has shown to correct these problems to a certain extent [43].

Emphasis should be given for polishing dental amalgam restorations in dental institutions which in our study has shown that an overall significant quality improvement was seen. Different methods can be advocated for finishing and polishing such as [44] pumice with rubber cup, polishing with green stone, amalgam finishing bur, pumice and zinc oxide in sequence in rubber cups, polishing with brownie cup and brownie minipoint (Shofu), polishing with prophy jet polishing agent and use of rubber cup with polishing paste.

From the analysis in our study it was seen that most of the students tend to use rubber cups and polishing paste which has shown good results and lesser time for better mastery of their technique by the operator [45]. From the analysis done it was seen that a high copper amalgam was used. Creaven et al [46] in their surface topographic analysis saw that high copper amalgam initially (pre polishing) had shown to be significantly rougher but after polishing of these restorations better surface topography was witnessed with surfaces being equally smooth even if polished after 24 hours. This could have an influence on the results obtained in our study.

This retrospective study was done based on the documentations in the patient record. Clinical chair side assessment would alter the outcome of the analysis. The procedure was performed by different operators, which could influence the standardization. However this institutional based assessment focused on the outcome of polishing. This study would create awareness on the procedure performed and would pave a platform for future prospective studies.

## **CONCLUSION**

From the present study based on FDI assessment criteria, it can be concluded that the quality of restoration for Class 1 amalgam restorations improved with polishing. Polishing of amalgam is a deemed necessity since it has shown to correct many defects as well as remove fracture of material to a certain extent. It also provides better strength to the restoration giving it better prognosis in the long run.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Jerry Jose (first author) in this study has contributed to data collection, data analysis and in the preparation of the manuscript. Raghu Sandhya (second author) in this study has contributed to the data analysis and preparation of the manuscript and editing. Surendar Sugumaran (third author) in this study has contributed to the supervision and preparation of the manuscript.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST** 

None

**SOURCE OF FUNDING** 

Self

## **ETHICAL CLEARANCE**

Ethical clearance was obtained from "Saveetha Institute Human Ethical Committee" (Ethical Approval Number-SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320)

### REFERENCES

- 1. Soler JI, Ellacuria J, Triana R, Guinea E, Osborne JW. A history of dental amalgam. J Hist Dent. 2002 Nov;50(3):109–16.
- Bharti R, Wadhwani KK, Tikku AP, Chandra A. Dental amalgam: An update. J Conserv Dent. 2010;13(4):204.
- 3. Ring ME. Dentistry: an illustrated history. Abradale Press/Harry N. Abrams; 1985.
- Zheng Z-Q, Li J-F, Chen Z-G, Li H-Y, Li S-C, Tan C-Y. Alloying and microstructural evolution of Al-Li alloys [J]. Chin J Nonferrous Met [Internet]. 2011;10. Available from: http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article\_en/CJFDTotal-ZYXZ201110005.htm
- 5. Mortensen ME. Mysticism and science: the amalgam wars. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol. 1991;29(2):vii xii.
- 6. Mahler DB. The high-copper dental amalgam alloys. J Dent Res. 1997 Jan;76(1):537–41.
- Ryge G, Telford RF, Fairhurst CW. Strength and Phase Formation of Dental Amalgam [Internet]. Vol. 36, Journal of Dental Research. 1957. p. 986–91. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022034557036 0062701
- 8. Nasim I, Hussainy S, Thomas T, Ranjan M. Clinical performance of resin-modified glass ionomer cement, flowable composite, and polyacid-modified resin composite in noncarious cervical lesions: One-year followup [Internet]. Vol. 21, Journal of Conservative Dentistry. 2018. p. 510. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jcd.jcd\_51\_18
- 9. Mjör IA. The reasons for replacement and the

age of failed restorations in general dental practice. Acta Odontol Scand. 1997 Jan;55(1):58–63.

- 10. Jokstad A, Mjör IA, Qvist V. The age of restorations in situ. Acta Odontol Scand. 1994 Aug;52(4):234–42.
- 11. Ramamoorthi S, Nivedhitha MS, Divyanand MJ. Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain after using endodontic needle and EndoActivator during root canal irrigation: A randomised controlled trial [Internet]. Vol. 41, Australian Endodontic Journal. 2015. p. 78–87. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/aej.12076
- 12. Ramanathan S, Solete P. Cone-beam Computed Tomography Evaluation of Root Canal Preparation using Various Rotary Instruments: An in vitro Study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2015 Nov 1;16(11):869–72.
- 13. Siddique R, Sureshbabu NM, Somasundaram J, Jacob B, Selvam D. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of precipitate formation following interaction of chlorhexidine with sodium hypochlorite, neem, and tulsi. J Conserv Dent. 2019 Jan;22(1):40–7.
- 14. DeMaar FE. Historically, when and by whom was silver amalgam introduced? Sci Educ Bull. 1972;5(1):23-6.
- Tidmarsh BG, Arrowsmith MG. Dentinal tubules at the root ends of apicected teeth: a scanning electron microscopic study [Internet]. Vol. 22, International Endodontic Journal. 1989. p. 184–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1989.tb00922.x
- 16. Hickel R, Peschke A, Tyas M, Mjör I, Bayne S, Peters M, et al. FDI World Dental Federation: clinical criteria for the evaluation of direct and indirect restorations—update and clinical examples [Internet]. Vol. 14, Clinical Oral Investigations. 2010. p. 349–66. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00784-010-0432-8
- 17. Hess DR, Pang JM, Camargo CA Jr. A survey of the use of noninvasive ventilation in academic emergency departments in the United States. Respir Care. 2009 Oct;54(10):1306–12.
- Rajeshkumar S, Kumar SV, Ramaiah A, Agarwal H, Lakshmi T, Roopan SM. Biosynthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles usingMangifera indica leaves and evaluation of their antioxidant and cytotoxic properties in lung cancer (A549) cells. Enzyme Microb Technol. 2018 Oct;117:91–5.
- 19. Kavitha M, Subramanian R, Narayanan R, Udhayabanu V. Solution combustion synthesis and characterization of strontium

substituted hydroxyapatite nanocrystals [Internet]. Vol. 253, Powder Technology. 2014. p. 129–37. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2013.10. 045

- Vijayakumar GNS, Nixon Samuel Vijayakumar G, Devashankar S, Rathnakumari M, Sureshkumar P. Synthesis of electrospun ZnO/CuO nanocomposite fibers and their dielectric and non-linear optic studies [Internet]. Vol. 507, Journal of Alloys and Compounds. 2010. p. 225–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2010.07. 161
- 21. Danda AK. Comparison of a single noncompression miniplate versus 2 noncompression miniplates in the treatment of mandibular angle fractures: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010 Jul;68(7):1565–7.
- Lekha L, Kanmani Raja K, Rajagopal G, 22. Easwaramoorthy D. Synthesis, spectroscopic characterization and antibacterial studies of lanthanide(III) Schiff base complexes containing N, O donor atoms [Internet]. Vols. 1056-1057, Journal of Molecular Structure. 307-13. 2014. Available from: p. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2013.1 0.014
- Putchala MC, Ramani P, Herald J. Sherlin, Premkumar P, Natesan A. Ascorbic acid and its pro-oxidant activity as a therapy for tumours of oral cavity – A systematic review [Internet]. Vol. 58, Archives of Oral Biology. 2013. p. 563–74. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.201 3.01.016
- 24. Devi VS, Subathra Devi V, Gnanavel BK. Properties of Concrete Manufactured Using Steel Slag [Internet]. Vol. 97, Procedia Engineering. 2014. p. 95–104. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.12. 229
- Dhinesh B, Niruban Bharathi R, Isaac JoshuaRamesh Lalvani J, Parthasarathy M, Annamalai K. An experimental analysis on the influence of fuel borne additives on the single cylinder diesel engine powered by Cymbopogon flexuosus biofuel [Internet]. Vol. 90, Journal of the Energy Institute. 2017. p. 634–45. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2016.04.010
- Danda AK, Tatiparthi MK, Narayanan V, Siddareddi A. Influence of Primary and Secondary Closure of Surgical Wound After Impacted Mandibular Third Molar Removal on Postoperative Pain and Swelling—A Comparative and Split Mouth Study

[Internet]. Vol. 68, Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2010. p. 309–12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2009.04.06

- Gopalakannan S, Senthilvelan T, Ranganathan S. Modeling and Optimization of EDM Process Parameters on Machining of Al 7075-B4C MMC Using RSM [Internet]. Vol. 38, Procedia Engineering. 2012. p. 685–90. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.06. 086
- Venu H, Dhana Raju V, Subramani L. 28. Combined effect of influence of nano additives, combustion chamber geometry and injection timing in a DI diesel engine fuelled with ternary (diesel-biodieselethanol) blends [Internet]. Vol. 174, Energy. 2019. p. 386-406. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.02. 163
- 29. Adalarasan R, Santhanakumar M, Rajmohan M. Application of Grev Taguchi-based response surface methodology (GT-RSM) for optimizing the plasma arc cutting parameters of 304L stainless steel [Internet]. Vol. 78, The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. 2015. p. 1161-70. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-6744-0
- 30. Parthasarathy M, Isaac JoshuaRamesh Lalvani J, Dhinesh B, Annamalai K. Effect of hydrogen on ethanol-biodiesel blend on performance and emission characteristics of a direct injection diesel engine. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2016 Dec;134(Pt 2):433–9.
- 31. Neelakantan P, Cheng CQ, Mohanraj R, Sriraman P, Subbarao C, Sharma S. Antibiofilm activity of three irrigation protocols activated by ultrasonic, diode laser or Er:YAG laserin vitro [Internet]. Vol. 48, International Endodontic Journal. 2015. p. 602–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/iej.12354
- 32. Sajan D, Udaya Lakshmi K, Erdogdu Y, Joe IH. Molecular structure and vibrational spectra of 2,6-bis(benzylidene)cyclohexanone: a density functional theoretical study. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc. 2011 Jan;78(1):113–21.
- 33. Sharma P, Mehta M, Dhanjal DS, Kaur S, Gupta G, Singh H, et al. Emerging trends in the novel drug delivery approaches for the treatment of lung cancer. Chem Biol Interact. 2019 Aug 25;309:108720.
- 34. Ranganathan H, Ganapathy DM, Jain AR. Cervical and Incisal Marginal Discrepancy in

Ceramic Laminate Veneering Materials: A SEM Analysis. Contemp Clin Dent. 2017 Apr;8(2):272–8.

- 35. Lekha L, Kanmani Raja K, Rajagopal G, Easwaramoorthy D. Schiff base complexes of metal ions: rare earth Synthesis, characterization and catalytic activity for the oxidation of aniline and substituted anilines [Internet]. Vol. 753. Iournal of Organometallic Chemistry. 2014. p. 72-80. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.201 3.12.014
- 36. Neelakantan P, Grotra D, Sharma S. Retreatability of 2 mineral trioxide aggregate-based root canal sealers: a conebeam computed tomography analysis. J Endod. 2013 Jul;39(7):893–6.
- PradeepKumar AR, Shemesh H, Jothilatha S, Vijayabharathi R, Jayalakshmi S, Kishen A. Diagnosis of Vertical Root Fractures in Restored Endodontically Treated Teeth: A Time-dependent Retrospective Cohort Study. J Endod. 2016 Aug;42(8):1175–80.
- 38. Elderton RJ. Longitudinal study of dental treatment in the general dental service in Scotland. Br Dent J. 1983 Aug 6;155(3):91–6.
- Rudolphy MP, van Loveren C, van Amerongen JP. Grey Discolouration for the Diagnosis of Secondary Caries in Teeth with Class II Amalgam Restorations: An in vitro Study [Internet]. Vol. 30, Caries Research. 1996. p. 189–93. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000262158
- 40. Magalhães CS, Freitas ABDA de, Moreira AN, Ferreira EF. Validity of staining and marginal ditching as criteria for diagnosis of secondary caries around occlusal amalgam restorations: an in vitro study. Braz Dent J. 2009;20(4):307–13.
- 41. Velan E. 40 Restorative Dentistry for the Adolescent. In: Nowak AJ, Christensen JR, Mabry TR, Townsend JA, Wells MH, editors. Pediatric Dentistry (Sixth Edition). Philadelphia: Content Repository Only!;

2019. p. 598–609.e5.

- 42. Martin J, Fernandez E, Estay J, Gordan VV, Mjör IA, Moncada G. Management of Class I and Class II Amalgam Restorations with Localized Defects: Five-Year Results. Int J Dent. 2013 Jan 28;2013:450260.
- 43. Cardoso M, Baratieri LN, Ritter AV. The effect of finishing and polishing on the decision to replace existing amalgam restorations. Quintessence Int. 1999 Jun;30(6):413–8.
- 44. Vries J de, de Vries J, de Wet FA, Eick JD. Polishing dental amalgam restorations [Internet]. Vol. 58, The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1987. p. 148–52. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(87)90166-1
- 45. Pereira MA, Centola AL, do Nascimento TN, Turbino ML. Rugosimetric analysis of amalgam restorations polished using different techniques. Braz Dent J. 1998;9(2):85–90.
- 46. Creaven PJ, Joseph Creaven P, Dennison JB, Charbeneau GT. Surface roughness of two dental amalgams after various polishing techniques [Internet]. Vol. 43, The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 1980. p. 289–97. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(80)90404-7



Figure 1: Bar chart denoting the gender distribution of patients included for the assessment of class 1 amalgam restoration. X axis represents the gender; Y axis represents the number of patients. The study population consisted of 54.55% of female (n=30) and 45.45% of male (n=25)



Figure 2: Bar chart denoting the distribution of teeth included for the assessment of class 1 amalgam restoration. X axis represents the distribution of teeth; Y axis represents the number of teeth. Among the teeth included, the mandibular second molar had the highest frequency (22).



Figure 3: Bar graph showing surface luster of class 1 amalgam restoration pre-polishing (blue) and post polishing (cream) of class 1 amalgam restoration scoring based on FDI criteria for assessment of dental restoration. X axis represents the scores based on FDI criteria; Y axis represents the number of teeth. Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, P value- 0.00, P < 0.05, statistically significant. The analysis shows that the quality of surface luster of class 1 amalgam restoration was significantly improved with polishing.



Figure 4: Bar graph showing surface marginal staining of class 1 amalgam restoration pre-polishing (blue) and post polishing (cream) of class 1 amalgam restoration scoring based on FDI criteria for assessment of dental restoration. X axis represents the scores based on FDI criteria; Y axis represents the number of teeth. Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, P value- 0.00, P < 0.05, statistically significant. The analysis shows that the quality of surface marginal staining of class 1 amalgam restoration was significantly improved with polishing.



Figure 5: Bar graph showing esthetical anatomical form of class 1 amalgam restoration pre-polishing (blue) and post polishing (cream) of class 1 amalgam restoration scoring based on FDI criteria for assessment of dental restoration. X axis represents the scores based on FDI criteria; Y axis represents the number of teeth. Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, P value- 0.002, P < 0.05, statistically significant difference. The analysis shows that the quality of esthetic anatomical form of class 1 amalgam restoration was significantly improved with polishing.



Figure 6: Bar graph showing fracture of material and retention of class 1 amalgam restoration pre-polishing (blue) and post polishing (cream) of class 1 amalgam restoration scoring based on FDI criteria for assessment of dental restoration. X axis represents the scores based on FDI criteria; Y axis represents the number of teeth. Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, P value- 0.006, P < 0.05, statistically significant. The analysis shows that the quality of fracture of material and retention of class 1 amalgam restoration was significantly improved with polishing.



Figure 7: Bar graph showing marginal adaptation of class 1 amalgam restoration pre-polishing (blue) and post polishing (cream) of class 1 amalgam restoration scoring based on FDI criteria for assessment of dental restoration. X axis represents the scores based on FDI criteria; Y axis represents the number of teeth.
Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, P value- 0.617, P > 0.05, statistically insignificant. The analysis shows that the quality of marginal adaptation of class 1 amalgam restoration did not show difference with polishing.