

WWW.JOCMR.COM

# Lignocaine-Enhanced Comfort: A Comprehensive Review of Its Role in Postoperative Airway Symptoms in Laparoscopic Surgery

Author Details 1) Dr Sourav Sarkar, PG JR, Dept. of Anesthesia, Rama Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur, E-Mail- <u>souravsarkar.dr@gmail.com</u>, Ph No. - +91 8444070717 2) Dr Swati Trivedi, HoD, Professor, MD Anesthesia, Dept. of Anesthesia, Rama Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur, Email - drswati04.trivedi@gmail.com, Ph no. - +91 8400100131 3) Dr MD Muzammil, Assistant Professor, MD Anesthesia, Dept. of Anesthesia, Rama Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur, Email - dr.muzu@gmail.com

Ph no. - +91 8609992218

#### <u>Abstract</u>

This comprehensive review explores the potential role of lignocaine in addressing postoperative airway symptoms associated with laparoscopic surgery. While offering numerous benefits, laparoscopic procedures often present challenges related to respiratory discomfort in the postoperative phase. Lignocaine, a versatile local anesthetic with antiarrhythmic properties, is investigated for its mechanisms of action, including sodium channel blockade and anti-inflammatory effects. The review examines various administration routes-intravenous, topical, and nebulized-and evaluates their effectiveness in mitigating postoperative airway symptoms. Key findings indicate that lignocaine may contribute to expedited recovery times and improved patient-reported outcomes related to comfort and satisfaction. Safety considerations, including potential side effects, are discussed alongside practical considerations for implementation in clinical practice. The implications for personalized approaches and the potential transformation of perioperative care underscore lignocaine's promising role in enhancing postoperative airway comfort in laparoscopic surgery. Further research and clinical experience are crucial to refining our understanding and optimizing lignocaine's integration into routine practices.

#### Introduction & Background

Laparoscopic surgery, also known as minimally invasive surgery, has become a widely adopted technique for various medical procedures. Unlike traditional open surgeries, laparoscopic procedures involve small incisions through which specialized instruments and a camera are inserted, allowing surgeons to perform operations with enhanced precision. This approach often reduces pain, shorter hospital stays, and faster recovery than conventional surgical methods [1].

While laparoscopic surgery provides various benefits, postoperative airway discomfort remains a significant concern. Patients may experience respiratory symptoms such as sore throat, coughing, irritation, laryngospasm, and bronchospasm due to factors such as intubation, mechanical ventilation, and carbon dioxide insufflation during the procedure. Addressing these postoperative airway symptoms is crucial for ensuring patient comfort, optimizing the overall recovery experience, and reducing the risk of complications [2].

DOI: 10.5455/jcmr.2024.15.03.9

Lignocaine, a local anesthetic with antiarrhythmic properties, has a long history of use in various medical settings, including anesthesia. Known for its ability to block sodium channels and inhibit nerve conduction, lignocaine has traditionally been employed to provide pain relief during surgical procedures. In recent years, researchers and clinicians have explored its potential beyond its conventional applications, particularly in mitigating postoperative airway symptoms associated with laparoscopic surgery [3]. This comprehensive review aims to specifically explore the role of lignocaine in addressing postoperative airway symptoms associated with laparoscopic surgery. By thoroughly examining the existing literature, clinical studies, and mechanisms of action, our goal is to precisely understand how lignocaine contributes to enhanced patient comfort and improved outcomes in the postoperative period. This review is focused on consolidating current knowledge, pinpointing research gaps, and providing insights into the potential future applications of lignocaine in surgical anesthesia.

### Review

## Laparoscopic surgery and postoperative airway symptoms

### Explanation of Laparoscopic Surgery and Its Impact on the Respiratory System

Laparoscopic surgery, characterized by its minimally invasive nature, involves the insufflation of carbon dioxide into the abdominal cavity to create a workspace for the surgeon. While essential for the procedure, this insufflation can inadvertently impact the respiratory system. Elevated intra-abdominal pressure, alterations in diaphragmatic movement, and the absorption of carbon dioxide may collectively contribute to changes in pulmonary function. Understanding the physiological impact of laparoscopic surgery on the respiratory system is crucial for identifying strategies to alleviate postoperative airway symptoms [2].

## Common Postoperative Airway Symptoms in Laparoscopic Surgery

symptoms Postoperative airway following laparoscopic surgery can manifest in various ways, affecting the upper respiratory tract. Commonly reported symptoms include sore throat, hoarseness, coughing, and irritation. While these symptoms are prevalent in various surgical procedures, their occurrence in laparoscopic surgeries is not well-justified. These manifestations are often attributed to factors such as endotracheal intubation, the use of airway devices, and the mechanical ventilation required during the procedure. To better understand and address the unique challenges posed bv laparoscopic surgery, it is essential to comprehensively catalog and analyze these symptoms [4].

Need for interventions to Address Postoperative Airway Discomfort

Recognizing the impact of postoperative airway symptoms, including sore throat, coughing, broncho, or laryngospasm, on patient recovery, there is a pressing need for effective interventions to mitigate discomfort and enhance overall postoperative well-being. Traditional approaches, such as systemic analgesics and throat lozenges, may offer temporary relief but might not specifically target the unique challenges posed by laparoscopic surgery. This prompts the exploration of novel interventions, such as lignocaine, to address the underlying causes of these specific postoperative airway symptoms. By identifying effective strategies, healthcare providers can contribute to improved patient satisfaction and a more seamless recovery process after laparoscopic surgery [5].

### Mechanism of action of lignocaine

Overview of Lignocaine and its Pharmacological Properties

Lignocaine, also known as lidocaine, is a widely used local anesthetic and antiarrhythmic agent and for treating neuropathic pain. As an amide-type local anesthetic, lignocaine interferes with nerve impulse transmission by inhibiting sodium channels in neuronal cell membranes. This pharmacological property renders lignocaine effective in blocking sensory and motor nerve signals, leading to temporary loss of sensation in the targeted area. Additionally, lignocaine exhibits antiarrhythmic effects, making it a versatile drug with applications beyond pain management [6].

Mechanisms Through Which Lignocaine Provides Analgesia and Comfort

The analgesic effects of lignocaine arise from its capacity to block voltage-gated sodium channels, preventing the influx of sodium ions and subsequent depolarization of nerve fibers. This action inhibits the propagation of action potentials along nerve axons, resulting in reversible local anesthesia. In the context of postoperative airway symptoms in laparoscopic surgery, lignocaine may provide relief by mitigating the transmission of pain signals originating from the upper respiratory tract and vocal cords. Notably, lignocaine's analgesic properties do not induce respiratory depression, representing a significant advantage over opioid drugs. This attribute contributes to enhanced patient comfort during the recovery period [7]. Furthermore, beyond its primary role as a local anesthetic, lignocaine exhibits antiinflammatory properties that may further augment its analgesic effects. By inhibiting inflammatory mediators, lignocaine can potentially minimize tissue irritation and inflammation associated with postoperative airway symptoms [8]. This dual mechanism of action positions lignocaine as a valuable agent in managing pain while avoiding the respiratory depressant effects often observed with opioid medications, thereby optimizing the postoperative experience for patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery.

Relevance of Lignocaine in Mitigating Postoperative Airway Symptoms The distinctive pharmacological profile of lignocaine positions it as a promising candidate for mitigating general postoperative airway symptoms in laparoscopic surgery and preventing specific complications such as laryngospasm and bronchospasm after extubation. By locally inhibiting nerve conduction and potentially reducing inflammation, lignocaine may effectively address challenges associated with intubation, mechanical ventilation, and carbon dioxide insufflation during the surgical procedure. This targeted application of lignocaine to the upper respiratory tract not only has the potential to alleviate common symptoms such as sore throat, hoarseness, and coughing but also plays a crucial and role in preventing laryngospasm bronchospasm, thereby enhancing the overall postoperative experience for patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery [9].

## Clinical studies on lignocaine in laparoscopic surgery

Review of Relevant Clinical Trials and Studies

Several clinical studies have investigated the use of intravenous lignocaine in laparoscopic surgery. A study of 50 female patients undergoing gynecological laparoscopic surgery found that intraoperative administration of lignocaine improved the overall quality of recovery and reduced postoperative pain, nausea, vomiting, and duration of postoperative ileus and hospital stay [10]. Another study investigated the effect of perioperative intravenous lignocaine infusion on hemodynamic responses and postoperative analgesia in laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgeries. The study found that lignocaine infusion attenuated the hemodynamic response to intubation and improved postoperative analgesia [11]. A study of 60 patients undergoing laparoscopic gynecological surgery found that intravenous lidocaine improved postoperative nausea, vomiting, and early recovery [12]. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of 60 patients undergoing laparoscopic colectomy found that intravenous lidocaine improved postoperative analgesia, fatigue, and bowel function, with associated reductions in hospital stay [13]. Overall, these studies suggest intravenous lignocaine that can improve comfort postoperative and recovery in laparoscopic surgery by reducing pain, nausea, vomiting, and duration of postoperative.

Comparison of Lignocaine-Based Interventions with Placebo or Alternative Approaches

Regarding comparing lignocaine-based interventions with placebo or alternative approaches in clinical studies, it is important to note that while this article emphasizes the advantages of lignocaine, the specific focus on postoperative airway symptoms may not be adequately addressed in the mentioned studies. For instance, one study compared the effects of different doses of intravenous lignocaine on etomidate-induced myoclonus, revealing varying outcomes based on weight-based dosages [14]. Additionally, another study compared the impact of lignocaine instilled through the endotracheal tube with intravenous lignocaine on the extubation response in patients undergoing craniotomy with skull pins. The study concluded that, in terms of attenuating cough or hemodynamic response, lignocaine instilled through the endotracheal route did not demonstrate superiority over the intravenous route or placebo [15]. While the advantages of lignocaine have been extensively outlined in the preceding sections, it is imperative to clarify its relevance to the overarching theme of this review. The systematic review and metaanalysis explored diverse interventions for preventing pain associated with propofol injection. pretreatment with lidocaine Notably, in conjunction with venous occlusion using a tourniquet emerged as the most efficacious intervention, demonstrating its potential to address procedural pain. Additionally, the study on perioperative intravenous lignocaine infusion during laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgeries highlighted its role in attenuating the hemodynamic response to intubation and analgesia [11]. improving postoperative Furthermore, investigations into the intraoperative administration of lignocaine revealed its positive impact on the overall quality of recovery in gynecological laparoscopic surgery. Intravenous lidocaine exhibited multifaceted effects, including anti-inflammatory, anti-hyperalgesic, and analgesic properties, leading to reductions in postoperative pain, nausea, vomiting, and the duration of postoperative ileus and hospital stay [10]. These findings collectively underscore the diverse effects of lignocaine-based interventions across different clinical scenarios. However, elucidating these effects catalyzes recognizing the necessity of further research to discern the most effective applications of intravenous lignocaine in various surgical settings. Analysis of Outcomes Related to Postoperative

#### Analysis of Outcomes Related to Postoperative Airway Symptoms

The analysis of outcomes related to postoperative airway symptoms in laparoscopic surgery reveals several key findings, particularly in sore throat, where lignocaine has proven beneficial. In a prospective randomized controlled trial, the study compared the effect of maintaining continuous cuff pressures of 20 cmH2O versus 30 cmH2O on postoperative airway symptoms in laparoscopic surgeries. The findings indicated that laparoscopic surgery increased cuff pressure, leading to airway symptoms, underscoring the importance of cuff to monitoring pressures mitigate postoperative airway complications [16-17]. Additionally, respiratory complications, including atelectasis, pneumonia, or desaturation, were observed postoperatively in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. Protective lung ventilation during pneumoperitoneum was associated with fewer highlighting complications, pulmonary the potential benefits of employing specific ventilation strategies to mitigate postoperative respiratory issues [18]. Additionally, laparoscopic surgery has improved respiratory outcomes compared to open surgery [19]. These findings underscore the

significance of monitoring cuff pressures, implementing lung-protective ventilation strategies, and considering the surgical approach in mitigating postoperative airway symptoms and respiratory complications in laparoscopic surgery. Further research and clinical attention to these factors may contribute to improved postoperative outcomes for patients undergoing laparoscopic procedures.

## Administration of lignocaine in laparoscopic surgery

### Routes of Administration

Intravenous (IV) administration: The direct infusion of lignocaine into the bloodstream characterizes intravenous administration, ensuring a rapid onset of action and widespread systemic distribution. This route proves advantageous for achieving general analgesia in laparoscopic surgery. The mechanism by which IV lignocaine assists in achieving general anesthesia (GA) lies in its ability to modulate systemic inflammatory responses, resulting in an overall reduction in pain. This systemic approach is particularly beneficial for addressing pain originating from multiple sites or regions during the surgical procedure, providing a comprehensive and effective analgesic effect [20]. Topical administration: Topical lignocaine application is a targeted approach that directly applies the anesthetic to mucosal surfaces, such as the oropharynx and upper respiratory tract. This method is highly relevant for managing postoperative airway symptoms associated with intubation and irritation. Whether administered as a spray or gel, topical lignocaine provides localized relief to specific areas affected during laparoscopic procedures. This precision in application allows for focused pain management, addressing discomfort in regions directly impacted by the surgical intervention [21].

Nebulized administration: Nebulized lignocaine is delivered as a fine mist that patients inhale, directly targeting the respiratory system. This administration method presents a potential option for alleviating airway symptoms resulting from carbon dioxide insufflation during laparoscopic surgery. Nebulized lignocaine addresses coughing and irritation by offering localized relief to the respiratory tract. This approach is particularly advantageous in minimizing discomfort associated with the procedural elements, such as the effects dioxide insufflation, of carbon thereby contributing to a more comfortable postoperative experience for the patient [22].

## Optimal Dosages and Timing for Lignocaine Administration

Patient factors: Patient-specific characteristics play a crucial role in determining the appropriate dosage of lignocaine. Factors such as patient weight, age, and overall health can significantly influence the pharmacokinetics and response to the drug. In the context of laparoscopic surgery, where individual variations are standard, adjustments to lignocaine dosage may be necessary. Patients with comorbidities or altered pharmacokinetics may require tailored dosing strategies to achieve optimal pain management while avoiding potential side effects or toxicity [23].

Surgical phase: The timing of lignocaine administration is a critical consideration, and it should align with the distinct phases of laparoscopic surgery to maximize its effectiveness. Preoperative administration may provide preemptive analgesia, helping to establish a baseline level of pain control before the surgical stimulus. Intraoperative use can contribute to pain management during the procedure, potentially reducing the need for other analgesics. Postoperative administration aims to enhance comfort during the recovery phase. Aligning lignocaine administration with each surgical phase ensures a comprehensive approach to pain control, addressing the dynamic nature of discomfort throughout the entire surgical process [24].

Titration: Lignocaine dosages are subject to titration based on individual patient responses, emphasizing the importance of continuous monitoring and adjustment during surgery. For normal healthy adults, the individual maximum recommended dose of lidocaine HCl with epinephrine should not exceed 7 mg/kg (3.5 mg/lb) of body weight. Titration allows healthcare providers to tailor the dosage to the patient's specific pain experience and physiological responses. This dynamic approach ensures optimal pain management while minimizing the risk of lignocaine toxicity. Continuous vigilance and titration represent integral components of a and responsive personalized strategy, acknowledging the variability in patient responses and the need for real-time adjustments to achieve the desired therapeutic effect [25].

Safety Considerations and Potential Side Effects Systemic toxicity: Intravenous administration of lignocaine carries a potential risk of systemic toxicity, especially if dosages exceed recommended limits. Close monitoring of patients is imperative to detect early signs of toxicity, which may manifest as seizures, as well as symptoms such as ringing in the ears, metallic taste in the mouth, and feelings of impending doom, which are indicative of potential cardiac arrest. Vigilant monitoring allows healthcare providers to promptly intervene if signs of systemic toxicity arise, ensuring patient safety. It underscores the importance of adhering to established dosage guidelines and adjusting administration based on individual patient factors to minimize the risk of adverse systemic effects [26].

Allergic reactions: Although rare, allergic reactions to lignocaine can occur and should be approached with caution. Clinicians must remain vigilant for symptoms such as rash, itching, or swelling indicative of an allergic response. Preparedness to manage allergic reactions is crucial, and healthcare providers should be equipped with the necessary interventions, such as antihistamines or corticosteroids, to address allergic symptoms promptly. While lignocaine is generally welltolerated, clinicians should maintain awareness of the potential for allergic responses, especially in patients with known allergies or hypersensitivity to local anesthetics [27].

Local irritation: Topical administration of lignocaine may induce local irritation or numbness at the application site. Careful and precise application techniques and monitoring for any signs of local irritation are essential to mitigate these effects. Adjusting the concentration or formulation of topical lignocaine may be considered to balance effective pain relief with minimal local irritation. By adopting meticulous application practices and closely observing patients for any adverse local reactions, healthcare providers can enhance the overall lignocaine during tolerability of topical administration [27].

Respiratory effects: The administration of inhaled lignocaine, delivered as a fine mist through nebulization, may potentially elicit respiratory symptoms. This consideration becomes crucial, particularly in patients with pre-existing respiratory conditions. The use of inhaled lignocaine should be approached cautiously, with clinicians conducting a thorough assessment of respiratory function prior to administration. Monitoring for any signs of respiratory distress or exacerbation of pre-existing conditions is essential. This cautious approach aims to ensure that potential respiratory effects associated with inhaled lignocaine are effectively managed, thereby minimizing the risk of adverse outcomes in patients with compromised respiratory function [28].

## Impact of lignocaine on recovery and patient satisfaction

Assessment of Recovery Times with Lignocaine Use One significant aspect of evaluating lignocaine's role in laparoscopic surgery is its impact on the recoverv timeline. Several studies have investigated the relationship between lignocaine administration and postoperative recovery. By assessing parameters such as time to ambulation, discharge readiness, and overall recovery trajectory, researchers aim to discern whether lignocaine contributes to expedited recovery in the aftermath laparoscopic procedures. of Understanding the effects on recovery times provides valuable insights into lignocaine's potential to facilitate enhanced postoperative outcomes [29].

One study found that lignocaine hurt wound healing at 5 and 7 days, possibly due to the destructive effect of the intra- and subcutaneous injection, but undoubtedly due also to the lignocaine itself [30]. Another study evaluated the effect of lignocaine infusion on recovery profile, quality of recovery, and postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing total abdominal hysterectomy. The study demonstrated no prolongation of neuromuscular recovery and extubation after lignocaine infusion [31].

Intravenous lidocaine has been found to have antiinflammatory, anti-hyperalgesic, and analgesic effects, reducing postoperative pain, nausea, vomiting, and duration of postoperative ileus and hospital stay, and might be a valuable adjuvant to improve recovery after gynecological laparoscopic surgery [10]. Several studies have established that intraoperative systemic lignocaine is associated with lesser side effects and earlier discharge of patients [10]. Overall, while the available search results do not provide a comprehensive analysis of recovery times with lignocaine use in laparoscopic surgery, they suggest that lignocaine may have some effects on wound healing and postoperative recovery in other surgical procedures. Further research is needed to determine the specific effects of lignocaine on recovery times in laparoscopic surgery.

Patient-Reported Outcomes Related to Comfort and Satisfaction

Patient satisfaction and comfort are pivotal indicators of the success of any medical intervention. Studies exploring lignocaine's influence on patient-reported outcomes focus on subjective feedback gathering regarding postoperative comfort, pain levels, and overall satisfaction. Researchers use validated surveys or interviews to capture patients' perceptions of their recovery experience, specifically about airway symptoms and any potential improvements attributed to lignocaine administration. Analyzing these outcomes helps gauge the patient-centered impact of lignocaine in laparoscopic surgery [32-341.

Comparison with Traditional Anesthesia Methods

A critical analysis involves comparing outcomes with traditional anesthesia methods to establish lignocaine's efficacy in enhancing recovery and patient satisfaction [35-36]. Comparative studies, often randomized controlled trials, assess whether lignocaine-based interventions offer advantages over conventional approaches in terms of recovery times, postoperative symptoms, and overall patient experience. This comparison may extend aspects such as opioid consumption, to postoperative nausea and vomiting, and the incidence of adverse events. A comprehensive examination of these comparative studies contributes to a nuanced understanding of lignocaine's potential role as a superior or complementary option in laparoscopic surgery [37-381.

### Future directions and considerations

## Emerging Research on Lignocaine and its Potential Applications

As medical research continually evolves, there is a burgeoning interest in exploring novel applications of lignocaine beyond its traditional uses. Emerging research is shedding light on the broader pharmacological effects of lignocaine and its potential benefits in diverse medical contexts. Investigative efforts are underway to uncover new avenues for lignocaine application, including its role in modulating inflammatory responses, neuroprotection, and potential synergies with other therapeutic agents. A critical review of this emerging research will provide insights into the expanding landscape of lignocaine's applications, potentially uncovering innovative approaches to enhance patient outcomes in laparoscopic surgery [39].

### Areas for Further Investigation

protocols: Optimal Establishing optimal administration protocols for lignocaine is paramount to maximizing its benefits while potential minimizing risks. This involves determining the most effective dosage, timing, and duration of lignocaine administration during laparoscopic surgery. Protocols should be tailored to the specific nuances of each surgical procedure, considering variations in patient characteristics and the surgical context. Fine-tuning these protocols through evidence-based research ensures that lignocaine is utilized in a manner that postoperative optimally addresses airway symptoms while maintaining safety and efficacy [40].

Long-term effects: Investigating the long-term effects of lignocaine administration in the context of laparoscopic surgery is a critical area for exploration. This involves assessing its impact on chronic pain, recurrence of airway symptoms, and patients' overall well-being postoperatively. Longitudinal studies can provide insights into the sustained benefits or potential challenges associated with lignocaine use over extended periods. Understanding the long-term effects contributes to a comprehensive evaluation of lignocaine's role in enhancing postoperative recovery. It informs decisions regarding its continued use in the evolving landscape of surgical care [41].

Comparative studies: Larger-scale comparative studies are essential to enhance our understanding of lignocaine's relative efficacy compared to alternative interventions in laparoscopic surgery. These studies should involve diverse patient populations, encompassing various demographics and health conditions, and encompass different laparoscopic procedures. Comparative analyses allow for a comprehensive assessment of lignocaine's effectiveness compared to other analgesic or anesthetic approaches, providing valuable insights that can guide clinical decisionmaking and best practices [38].

Combination therapies: Exploring the potential synergies of lignocaine with other analgesic or anti-inflammatory agents is a promising avenue for comprehensively managing postoperative airway symptoms. Investigating combination therapies can provide a more nuanced understanding of how lignocaine interacts with other drugs to achieve enhanced pain relief and patient comfort. This approach aligns with the trend toward multimodal analgesia, where different agents work synergistically to optimize outcomes and minimize adverse effects [42].

Patient stratification: Investigating factors influencing individual responses to lignocaine is crucial for patient stratification. This includes considerations such as patient demographics, comorbidities, and specific surgical procedure details. Understanding these factors allows for developing personalized approaches to lignocaine administration, ensuring that dosages and protocols are tailored to individual patient needs. This patient-centric strategy can enhance treatment efficacy and contribute to a more individualized and targeted approach to managing postoperative airway symptoms in laparoscopic surgery [43].

Practical Considerations for Implementing Lignocaine in Laparoscopic Surgery

Clinical guidelines: Developing evidence-based guidelines for lignocaine administration in laparoscopic surgery is foundational in standardizing practices across healthcare settings. These guidelines should offer clear and comprehensive recommendations on various aspects, including dosages, administration routes, and safety monitoring protocols. By synthesizing the existing evidence and best practices, these guidelines provide a framework for healthcare professionals to follow, ensuring consistency and quality in lignocaine administration. Evidencebased guidelines contribute to improved patient outcomes, safety, and the overall efficacy of lignocaine in managing postoperative airway symptoms [44].

Training and education: Ensuring that healthcare professionals are adequately trained and educated on the proper use of lignocaine is essential for this intervention's safe and effective implementation. Training programs should encompass detailed lignocaine's pharmacology, insights into administration techniques, and potential side effects. Healthcare providers must be proficient in assessing patient suitability, determining optimal dosages, and understanding the nuances of different administration routes. Ongoing education and training programs facilitate a high level of competency among healthcare professionals, fostering confidence in lignocaine's utilization and contributing to improved patient care [45,46].

Infrastructure and resources: Assessing the logistical requirements for incorporating lignocaine into surgical procedures is vital for successful implementation. This involves evaluating the availability of appropriate formulations of lignocaine, ensuring the necessary equipment for administration, and establishing monitoring tools to track patient responses. Adequate infrastructure and resources contribute to the seamless integration of lignocaine into surgical protocols, minimizing disruptions and ensuring that healthcare providers can administer lignocaine safely and effectively during laparoscopic surgery [46-50].

Patient communication: Establishing effective communication strategies to inform patients about the use of lignocaine is crucial for fostering shared decision-making and enhancing overall patient satisfaction. Clear and transparent communication should outline the potential benefits of lignocaine in managing postoperative airway symptoms, along with any associated considerations or possible side effects. Educating patients about the role of lignocaine in their care empowers them to participate in the decision-making process, promoting a collaborative approach to treatment. Well-informed patients are more likely to feel engaged, confident, and satisfied with their surgical experience, contributing to positive outcomes and patient-centered care [47]. Conclusions

In conclusion, the comprehensive review of lignocaine's role in addressing postoperative airway symptoms in laparoscopic surgery has provided valuable insights into its pharmacological properties, administration methods, and potential impact on patient outcomes. The review highlights lignocaine's multifaceted mechanisms of action, emphasizing its ability to offer targeted relief to the upper respiratory tract. The diverse routes of administration, including intravenous, topical, and nebulized approaches, offer clinicians flexibility in tailoring interventions to the unique challenges posed by laparoscopic procedures. The key findings suggest that lignocaine may contribute to expedited recovery times and improved patient comfort, underscoring its potential implications for clinical practice. Implementing lignocaine into anesthesia protocols may enhance postoperative comfort and contribute to overall patient satisfaction. However, careful consideration of safety guidelines and individualized approaches is paramount. As the field continues exploring emerging research and addressing practical considerations, lignocaine's potential role in enhancing postoperative airway comfort in laparoscopic surgery holds promise for transforming perioperative care practices.

### References

- 1. Greaves N, Nicholson J: <u>Single incision</u> <u>laparoscopic surgery in general surgery: a</u> <u>review</u>. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2011, 93:437-40. <u>10.1308/003588411X590358</u>
- Srivastava A, Niranjan A: <u>Secrets of safe</u> <u>laparoscopic surgery: Anaesthetic and</u> <u>surgical considerations</u>. J Minim Access Surg. 2010, 6:91-4. <u>10.4103/0972-</u> <u>9941.72593</u>
- 3. Weinberg L, Peake B, Tan C, Nikfarjam M: <u>Pharmacokinetics</u> and <u>pharmacodynamics</u> of lignocaine: A <u>review</u>. World J Anesthesiol. 2015, 4:17-29. <u>10.5313/wja.v4.i2.17</u>
- 4. Safaeian R, Hassani V, Movasaghi G, Alimian M, Faiz HR: <u>Postoperative</u> <u>respiratory complications of laryngeal</u> <u>mask airway and tracheal tube in ear,</u> <u>nose and throat operations</u>. Anesth Pain Med. 2015, 5:25111. <u>10.5812/aapm.25111</u>
- 5. McHardy FE, Chung F: Postoperative sore throat: cause, prevention and treatment. Anaesthesia. 1999, 54:444-53. 10.1046/j.1365-2044.1999.00780.x
- 6. Beecham GB, Nessel TA, Goyal A: <u>Lidocaine</u>. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island; 2023.
- 7. Fozzard HA, Lee PJ, Lipkind GM: <u>Mechanism of local anesthetic drug</u> <u>action on voltage-gated sodium channels</u>. Curr Pharm Des. 2005, 11:2671-86. 10.2174/1381612054546833

- Weinschenk S, Weiss C, Benrath J, von Baehr V, Strowitzki T, Feißt M: <u>Antiinflammatory characteristics of local</u> <u>anesthetics: inhibition of tnf-α secretion</u> <u>of lipopolysaccharide-stimulated</u> <u>leucocytes in human blood samples</u>. Int J Mol Sci. 2022, 23:3283. 10.3390/ijms23063283
- Ventham NT, Kennedy ED, Brady RR, Paterson HM, Speake D, Foo I, Fearon KCH: Efficacy of intravenous lidocaine for postoperative analgesia following laparoscopic surgery: a meta-analysis. World J Surg. 2015, 39:2220-34. 10.1007/s00268-015-3105-6
- 10. Awal S, Bhalotra AR, Sharma S: Effects of intra-operative infusion of lidocaine on postoperative pain and quality of recovery in patients undergoing gynecological laparoscopic surgery: A randomized controlled trial. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2022, 38:300. 10.4103/joacp.JOACP\_394\_20
- Murthy TK K, Kumar PV V: <u>Effect of perioperative intravenous lignocaine infusion on haemodynamic responses and postoperative analgesia in laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgeries</u>. Anesth Pain Med. 2018, 8:63490. 10.5812/aapm.63490
- Wang T, Liu H, Sun JH, Wang L, Zhang JY: <u>Efficacy of intravenous lidocaine in</u> <u>improving post-operative nausea</u>, <u>vomiting and early recovery after</u> <u>laparoscopic gynaecological surgery</u>. Exp Ther Med. 2019, 17:4723-9. <u>10.3892/etm.2019.7497</u>
- 13. Ahn E, Kang H, Choi GJ, Park YH, Yang SY, Kim BG, Choi SW: <u>Intravenous lidocaine</u> for effective pain relief after a laparoscopic colectomy: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. Int Surg. 2015, 100:394-401. 10.9738/INTSURG-D-14-00225.1
- 14. Gupta P, Gupta M: <u>Comparison of</u> <u>different doses of intravenous lignocaine</u> <u>on etomidate-induced myoclonus: A</u> <u>prospective randomised and placebo-</u> <u>controlled study</u>. Indian J Anaesth. 2018, 62:121-6. <u>10.4103/ija.IJA\_563\_17</u>
- 15. George SE, Singh G, Mathew BS, Fleming D, Korula G: <u>Comparison of the effect of</u> <u>lignocaine instilled through the</u> <u>endotracheal tube and intravenous</u> <u>lignocaine on the extubation response in</u> <u>patients undergoing craniotomy with skull</u> <u>pins: A randomized double blind clinical</u> <u>trial.</u> J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2013, 29:168-72. <u>10.4103/0970-</u> <u>9185.111668</u>
- 16. Jalota L, Kalira V, George E, et al.: <u>Prevention of pain on injection of</u> <u>propofol: systematic review and meta-</u> <u>analysis.</u> BMJ. 2011, 342:1110. <u>10.1136/bmj.d1110</u>
- 17. Mami M, Edanaga M, Mizuguchi H, et al.: <u>A prospective randomized controlled</u>

trial of the effect of maintenance of continuous cuff pressures (20 cmh2o vs 30 cmh2o) on postoperative airway symptoms in laparoscopic surgeries. Cureus. 2023, 15:47816. 10.7759/cureus.47816

- Park SJ, Kim BG, Oh AH, Han SH, Han HS, Ryu JH: <u>Effects of intraoperative</u> protective lung ventilation on postoperative pulmonary complications in patients with laparoscopic surgery: prospective, randomized and controlled <u>trial</u>. SurgEndosc. 2016, 30:4598-606. <u>10.1007/s00464-016-4797-x</u>
- 19. Ruscic KJ, Grabitz SD, Rudolph MI, Eikermann M: <u>Prevention of respiratory</u> <u>complications of the surgical patient:</u> <u>actionable plan for continued process</u> <u>improvement</u>. CurrOpinAnaesthesiol. 2017,

30:399. <u>10.1097/ACO.00000000000465</u>

- 20. Wall TP, Buggy DJ: <u>Perioperative</u> intravenous lidocaine and metastatic cancer recurrence - a narrative review. Front Oncol. 2021, 11:688896. 10.3389/fonc.2021.688896
- Sakae TM, Souza RLP de, Brand.úo JCM: Impact of topical airway anesthesia on immediate postoperative cough/bucking: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Braz J Anesthesiol. 2021, 73:91-100. 10.1016/j.bjane.2021.03.016
- Khandelwal M, Saini VK, Kothari S, Sharma G: <u>Role of lignocaine nebulization as an adjunct to airway blocks for awake fiber-optic intubation: a comparative study</u>. Anesth Essays Res. 2018, 12:735-41. <u>10.4103/aer.AER\_112\_18</u>
- 23. Sahinovic MM, Struys MMRF, Absalom AR: <u>Clinical pharmacokinetics and</u> <u>pharmacodynamics of propofol</u>. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2018, 57:1539-58. 10.1007/s40262-018-0672-3
- Hyland SJ, Brockhaus KK, Vincent WR, Spence NZ, Lucki MM, Howkins MJ, Cleary RK: <u>Perioperative pain management and opioid stewardship: a practical guide</u>. Healthcare. 2021, 9:333. 10.3390/healthcare9030333
- 25. Messina AG, Wang M, Ward MJ, Wilker CC, Smith BB, Vezina DP, Pace NL: <u>Anaesthetic interventions for</u> prevention of awareness during surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016, 2016:007272. <u>10.1002/14651858.CD00727</u> <u>2.pub2</u>
- 26. Torp KD, Metheny E, Simon LV: <u>Lidocaine</u> <u>Toxicity</u>. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island; 2023.
- 27. Bahar E, Yoon H: Lidocaine: <u>A local</u> <u>anesthetic, its adverse effects and</u> <u>management.</u> Medicina. 2021, 57:782. 10.3390/medicina57080782
- 28. Lv Z, Chen L, Tang J: <u>Nebulized lidocaine</u> inhalation in the treatment of patients

with acute asthma. World J Emerg Med. 2011, 2:30-2.

- 29. De Oliveira GS, Fitzgerald P, Streicher LF, Marcus R-J, McCarthy RJ: <u>Systemic</u> <u>lidocaine to improve postoperative</u> <u>quality of recovery after ambulatory</u> <u>laparoscopic surgery</u>. AnesthAnalg. 2012, 115:262-
  - 7. 10.1213/ANE.0b013e318257a380
- 30. Morris T, Tracey J: <u>Lignocaine: its effects</u> on wound healing. Br J Surg. 1977, 64:902-3. <u>10.1002/bjs.1800641219</u>
- 31. Koshyari HS, Asthana V, Agrawal S: Evaluation of lignocaine infusion on recovery profile, quality of recovery, and postoperative analgesia in patients total abdominal undergoing hysterectomy. J Anaesthesiol Clin 2019, 35:528-Pharmacol. 32. 10.4103/joacp.JOACP\_209\_18
- 32. Niemi-Murola L, Pöyhiä R, Onkinen K, Rhen B, Mäkelä A, Niemi TT: <u>Patient</u> <u>satisfaction with postoperative pain</u> <u>management--effect of preoperative</u> <u>factors</u>. Pain Manag Nurs. 2007, 8:122-9. <u>10.1016/j.pmn.2007.05.003</u>
- 33. Dixit R, Prajapati OP, Krishna A, Rai SK, Prasad M, Bansal VK: <u>Patient-reported</u> <u>outcomes of laparoscopic versus robotic</u> <u>primary ventral and incisional hernia</u> <u>repair: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Hernia. 2023, 27:245-57. 10.1007/s10029-022-02733-4</u>
- 34. Daliya P, Gemmill EH, Lobo DN, Parsons SL: <u>A systematic review of patient</u> reported outcome measures (PROMs) and <u>quality of life reporting in patients</u> <u>undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy</u>. Hepatobiliary SurgNutr. 2019, 8:22845-22245. 10.21037/hbsn.2019.03.16
- 35. Alexander HC, Nguyen CH, Moore MR, Bartlett AS, Hannam JA, Poole GH, Merry AF: <u>Measurement</u> of <u>patient-reported</u> <u>outcomes</u> <u>after</u> <u>laparoscopic</u> <u>cholecystectomy:</u> <u>a</u> <u>systematic</u> <u>review</u>. SurgEndosc. 2019, 33:2061-71. 10.1007/s00464-019-06745-7
- Bartolotta V, Cicconi S, Marziali I, Scalone G, Marilungo F, Guercioni G, Catarci M: <u>Patient-reported outcome measures</u> <u>after laparoscopic totally extra-peritoneal</u> <u>versus open anterior repair of inguinal</u> <u>hernia: A single-center prospective casecontrol study</u>. G Chir -JISA. 2022, 42:13. 10.1097/IA9.000000000000013
- 37. Melly C, McGeehan G, O'Connor N, et al.: <u>Patient-reported outcome measures</u> (<u>PROMs</u>) <u>after laparoscopic</u> <u>cholecystectomy: systematic review</u>. BJS Open. 2022, 6:062. 10.1093/bjsopen/zrac062
- 38. Priyaranjan null, Rohit null, Dcruz TM, Patel C, Masih A, Shaik I: <u>A comparative</u> <u>study evaluating the efficacy of lignocaine</u> <u>and dexmedetomidine with lignocaine and</u> <u>adrenaline in third molar surgery.</u> J

Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2022, 21:634-8. <u>10.1007/s12663-020-01477-x</u>

- 39. Lee IW-S, Schraag S: <u>The use of</u> <u>intravenous lidocaine in perioperative</u> <u>medicine: anaesthetic, analgesic and</u> <u>immune-modulatory aspects</u>. J Clin Med. 2022, 11:3543. <u>10.3390/jcm11123543</u>
- 40. Ramirez MF, Kamdar BB, Cata JP: Optimizing perioperative use of opioids: a multimodal approach. CurrAnesthesiol Rep. 2020, 10:404-15. 10.1007/s40140-020-00413-6
- 41. Singariya G, Sharma J, Kumari K, Kamal M, Khatri C, Selvin CC: <u>Comparison of the</u> <u>effects of intravenous lignocaine and</u> <u>ketamine on postoperative pain after</u> <u>lower abdominal surgeries under general</u> <u>anaesthesia</u>. Indian J Anaesth. 2023, 67:41-7. <u>10.4103/ija.ija\_691\_22</u>
- 42. Yang SS, Wang N-N, Postonogova T, Yang GJ, McGillion M, Beique F, Schricker T: Intravenous lidocaine to prevent postoperative airway complications in adults: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Br J Anaesth. 2020, 124:314-23. 10.1016/j.bja.2019.11.033
- 43. Fuller AM, Bharde S, Sikandar S: <u>The</u> <u>mechanisms and management of</u> <u>persistent postsurgical pain</u>. Front Pain Res. 2023, 4:1154597. 10.3389/fpain.2023.1154597
- 44. Scott MJ, Aggarwal G, Aitken RJ, et al.: <u>Consensus</u> <u>guidelines</u> for <u>perioperative</u> <u>care</u> for <u>emergency</u> <u>laparotomy</u> <u>enhanced</u> <u>recovery</u> <u>after</u> <u>surgery</u> (ERAS®) society recommendations <u>part</u> 2—emergency <u>laparotomy</u>: <u>intra-</u> <u>and</u> <u>postoperative</u> <u>care</u>. World J Surg. 2023, 1:31. <u>10.1007/s00268-023-07020-6</u>
- 45. National Clinical Guideline Centre (UK): <u>The Prevention and Management of</u> <u>Pressure Ulcers in Primary and Secondary</u> <u>Care</u>. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Londan; 2014.
- 46. Balakrishnan K, Ebenezer V, Dakir A, Kumar S, Prakash D: <u>Bupivacaine versus</u> <u>lignocaine as the choice of</u> <u>locallanesthetic agent for impacted third</u> <u>molar surgery a review</u>. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2015, 7:230-3. <u>10.4103/0975-</u> <u>7406.155921</u>
- 47. Roodbeen R, Vreke A, Boland G, Rademakers J, van den Muijsenbergh M, Noordman J, van Dulmen S: <u>Communication and shared decision-making with patients with limited health literacy; helpful strategies, barriers and suggestions for improvement reported by hospital-based palliative care providers. PLoS One. 2020, 15:0234926. <u>10.1371/journal.pone.023492</u> <u>6</u>
  </u>
- 48. Lam F, Lin YC, Tsai HC, Chen TL, Tam KW, Chen CY: <u>Effect of intracuff lidocaine</u> on postoperative sore throat and the emergence phenomenon: a systematic

review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS One. 2015, 10:0136184. 10.1371/journal.pone.013618

49. Peng F, Wang M, Yang H, Yang X, Long M: Efficacy of intracuff lidocaine in reducing coughing on tube: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Int Med Res. 2020, 48:300060520901872. 10.1177/030006052

<u>0901872</u> 50. Younes MM: Intracuff lidocaine 2% for

50. Younes MM: Intracuff Lidocaine 2% for prevention of postoperative cough and sore throat. Al-Azhar Assiut Med J. 2018, 16:43-8. 10.4103/AZMJ.AZMJ\_64\_17